

The Republican Club of Sun City NEWSLETTER

October 2018

Everett Schmidt, Editor
(Website: rcsctx.com)

Sun City Texas

(Topics this newsletter: Democrats Continue to Move Left, Left Has Psychological Problems, Left Ruins What It Touches)

CONGRESSMAN CARTER TO ADDRESS CLUB ON SUNDAY OCT. 14 Scheduled at Cowan Creek, Space Limitations Necessitate Rules for Attendees

Congressman John Carter, who represents the 31st Congressional District, will address the club during its meeting scheduled for **Sunday, October 14 in the Cowan Creek Amenity Center**. The meeting is scheduled for that facility because the ballroom was not available. Dinner will not be served; however, a social period will be held from 6:00 pm – 6:30 pm when hors d'oeuvres, wine and soda will be served. Details are below.

According to Wikipedia, Carter was *appointed* judge of the 277th District Court of Williamson County in 1981. He was *elected* to that post a year later – the first Republican elected to a countywide position in Williamson County.

He retired from the bench in 2001 in order to run for Congress in the newly created (at that time) 31st Congressional District. He was successful in that endeavor and has won the subsequent elections. Now in his 8th term, he is a candidate for another term.

According to his website, Congressman Carter is Chairman of the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Subcommittee on Appropriations and also serves on the Commerce, Justice and Science Subcommittee and Defense Subcommittee. This is Congressman Carter's fourth term to serve as Co-Chairman of the bipartisan House Army Caucus. He has been a member of the House Appropriations Committee since 2004.

He and his wife Erica have four adult children, six grandchildren and live in Round Rock.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING OF SUNDAY OCTOBER 14

Social Period and Speaker's Address. A social period will be held from 6:00 pm – 6:30 pm in the Wallburg/Jarrell rooms where hors d'oeuvres comprised of spring shrimp rolls, barbeque meat balls, veggie tray, and cheese tray (prepared by the club's caterer), plus wine and soda will be served. The speaker's address will be presented in the Georgetown/Florence rooms.

Limit on Number of Attendees Necessary. Because of space limitation and fire marshal regulations at the Cowan Creek facility, it is necessary to cap the total number of attendees who can be accommodated at that event to 148. (Note that the total number of attendees at the September meeting was an estimated 155.)

Necessary Rule. It is necessary to establish the following rule concerning who can be admitted to this event: **Attendees will be limited to current 2018 club members who have paid in advance an attendees fee which must be paid by all attendees. Visitors/Observers cannot be accommodated. Once capacity has been reached, individuals seeking admission must be turned away.**

Given this impending deadline for payment, it behooves club members planning to attend the October 14 meeting to send in their payments as soon as possible. They may obtain information about the current availability of seating by accessing the club's website at rcsctx.com where updated information on seating is provided. Brian Olson (VP – membership) reports he will send notice to the membership when capacity has been reached.

COST: The attendees fee is \$12 per person. Checks made out to "The Republican Club of Sun City" should be mailed to: **The Republican Club of Sun City, 1530 Sun City Blvd., Suite 120, PMB 227, Georgetown, TX**, or left in a special drop box located on the front porch of the home of club treasurer Gene Edwards at 202 Duck Creek Lane. For information, contact Gene at 520-990-1159 or geneedwards@earthlink.net The deadline for payment is Friday, October 5, assuming seating is available then.

VISITORS/OBSERVERS CANNOT BE ACCOMMODATED AT THIS MEETING

NOTE: Each of the club meetings in 2019 will be held in the ballroom of the Social Center!

POLITICAL ACTIVIST, HISTORIAN, AUTHOR TO ADDRESS CLUB IN NOV.

David Barton, former vice chairman of the Texas Republican Party, Christian political activist, author, historian and founder of Wallbuilders, a national organization that spotlights America's Judeo-Christian foundation and heritage, will address the club during its dinner meeting scheduled for **Thursday, November 29 in the ballroom of the Social Center in Sun City**. Information about that event will be provided in the November newsletter.

MEMBERSHIP DRIVE FOR 2019 NOW UNDERWAY

A membership drive for 2019 is now underway. Current 2014 members wishing to renew their memberships for 2019 or individuals wishing to become new members for 2019 are asked to submit a completed membership form along with their payments of the \$20 per person membership fee to the club at the address shown above under "COST" or to Brian Olson, VP – membership, at a club meeting, or as otherwise indicated.

Membership forms are available at the club's website, rcscctx.com, at club meetings and otherwise.

Encourage New Members. Current members are encouraged to consider bringing potential new 2019 club members to the November 29 club meeting and to informing them they can access the club's website where they can obtain information and can view, download or transmit to others current and past issues of the newsletter.

Membership Requirements. Any resident or non-resident of Sun City "who believes in the philosophy of the Republican Party and in the objectives of this [club] is eligible for membership to the club as an active or associate member upon payment of membership dues now payable for the year 2019.

Club Objectives. According to club bylaws, the objectives of this club include the following: "(1) to promote an informed electorate . . . through political education, (2) to foster loyalty to the Republican Party and to promote its principles and candidates in all elections, including non-partisan elections, and (3) to work for the election of Republican Party nominees."

OTHER CLUB NEWS

Nominations Committee Report. The Nominating Committee – consisting of Linda McDaniel (chairman), Carolyn Killebrew, Pam Olson, and Cathy Cody – in accordance with Article VIII of club bylaws, will officially nominate during the October meeting club members to fill the following officer positions for the year 2019: President, Vice President (for programs), Vice President (for membership), Treasurer, Secretary, Publicity Manager, and Hospitality.

Voting will take place during the November meeting. Nominations from the floor can be submitted, provided they are submitted in accordance with the requirements of Article VIII, Section 2 of the bylaws. After voting, a brief installation ceremony will take place.

Statistics. Brian Olson, VP membership, reports that current 2018 club membership stands at 394, with 104 being new members. Gene Edwards, treasurer, reports there were 150 attendees for the dinner, plus an estimated 5 observers at the September 6 meeting.

MID-TERM ELECTIONS NOW FAST APPROACHING

Following are some important dates regarding the coming mid-term elections:

October 9 – Last day to register to vote

October 26 – Last day to apply for ballot by mail

Oct. 22 – Nov. 2 – Early Voting period

Nov. 6 – Election Day

For information not provided by club communications, newspapers, the Internet, etc., contact the Elections Office at 512-943-1630.

Following are the names of Republican Candidates who will appear on the General Election ballot:

FEDERAL AND STATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES FOR OFFICE, NON-JUDICIAL

U. S. SENATOR

Ted Cruz (i)

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Ken Paxton (i)

RR COMMISSIONER

Christie Craddick (i)

U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, DIST. 31

John Carter (i)

COMPTROLLER

Glen Heger (i)

STATE SENATOR, DIST. 5

Charles Schwertner (i)

GOVERNOR

Greg Abbott (i)

LAND COMMISSIONER

George P Bush (i)

STATE REPRESENTATIVE

Terry Wilson (i)

LT. GOVERNOR

Dan Patrick (i)

AGRI. COMMISSIONER

Sid Miller (i)

COUNTY & DISTRICT OFFICES, NON-JUDICIAL

COUNTY JUDGE

Bill Gravell

DISTRICT CLERK

Lisa David (i)

COUNTY CLERK

Nancy Rister (i)

COUNTY TREASURER

Scott Hesselmeier (i)

JUDICIAL OFFICES – STATE LEVEL

TEXAS SUPREME COURT, PL.2

Jimmie Blacklock

COURT OF CRIMINAL APP., PL.1

David Bridges

3RD CT. OF APP., PL.2

Cynthia Olson Bourland (i)

3RD CT. OF APP., PL.3

Scott Field (i)

TEXAS SUPREME COURT, PL.4

John Divine (i)

COURT OF CRIMINAL APP., PL.7

Barbara Hervey (i)

3RD CT. OF APP., PL.5

David Puryear (i)

TEXAS SUPREME COURT, PL.6

Jeff Brown (i)

COURT OF CRIMINAL APP., PL. 8

Dib Waldrip (i)

3RD CT. OF APP., PL.6

Michael Toth

JUDICIAL OFFICES – DISTRICT, COUNTY & PRECINCT LEVELS

<u>JUDGE, 277TH DIST. CT.</u> Stacey Matthews (i)	<u>JUDGE, 378TH DIST. CT.</u> Rick Kennon (i)	<u>JUSTICE OF THE PEACE, PCT. 3</u> Evelyn McClean	
<u>COUNTY CT. AT LAW #1</u> Brandy Hallford	<u>COUNTY CT. AT LAW #2</u> Laura Barker (i)	<u>COUNTY CT. AT LAW #3</u> Doug Arnold (i)	<u>COUNTY CT. AT LAW #4</u> John McMaster (i)

(NOTE: A complete sample ballot showing party affiliations of candidates can be obtained from the Williamson County Website at Wilco.org)

DEMOCRATS, HAVING ABANDONED THEIR FOUNDING, MOVED TO THE LEFT, AND THE LEFTWARD MOVEMENT CONTINUES

Democrats Disassociate Themselves From Their Past. Democrats no longer honor – as they once did – their party's founders, Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson. That's because Jefferson's views are no longer in vogue. Note, for example, that it was Jefferson who stated, "The government is best which governs least," a thought which doesn't square with the ever-present promotion of big – if not gargantuan – government advocated by Democrats.

Also, Jefferson had a concern about government catering to special interests. It was Jefferson who led the Anti-Federalist movement against the ratification of the Constitution because he feared those elected would become pawns for special interests, using their constituencies to perpetuate their offices. Jefferson was proven right! Even so, for all to see, Democrats engage in emotional – often demagogic – appeals to special interests such as minorities, women, LGBT groups, open-borders groups and the like.

And note the present hostility of Democrats toward religion. The party has gone from President Roosevelt proclaiming the need to save "Christian Civilization" in World War II speeches to an attempt two conventions ago to ban the mention of God in the Democrat platform.

Note also that one of the past heroes of Democrats, John F. Kennedy, is now out-of-tune in at least two respects with Democrats: (1) Kennedy advocated reducing taxes as a means of making the economy grow, and (2) counter to the entitlement philosophy promoted today, admonished Americans, "Ask not what your country can do for you, but ask what you can do for your country."

The Term "Liberalism" is no Longer Appropriate. Columnist Dennis Prager discusses why the term "liberalism" is no longer appropriate to describe the ideology of the Democrat party: "Liberalism – which in the past was anti-left, pro-American and deeply committed to the Judeo-Christian foundations of America . . . regarded Western Civilization as the greatest moral and artistic human achievement. Today, however, the left is opposed to every one of those core principles of liberalism. Just as in Western Europe, the left in America seeks to erase America's Judeo-Christian foundation."

Differences Between Today's "Left" and "Right." In a recent op-ed piece, Prager produced a chart revealing in stark terms some of the major differences between the "left" and the "right." Following are excerpts from that chart:

<u>SOURCE OF HUMAN RIGHTS</u> Left: Government Right: The Creator	<u>ECONOMIC GOAL</u> Left: Equality Right: Prosperity	<u>PURPOSE OF JUDGES</u> Left: Pursue social justice Right: Pursue justice	<u>GENDER</u> Left: A social construction Right: Male and female
<u>FAMILY IDEAL</u> Left: Any loving unit of people Right: A married father and mother and children	<u>GOOD AND EVIL</u> Left: Relative to individual and/or society Right: Based on universal absolutes	<u>PRIMARY ROLE OF STATE</u> Left: Increase and protect equality Right: Increase and protect liberty	<u>WORTH OF HUMAN FETUS</u> Left: Determined by mother Right: Determined by society rooted in Judeo-Christian values
<u>MOST IMPORTANT TRAIT TO CULTIVATE IN A CHILD</u> Left: Self-esteem Right: Self-control	<u>BLACK AMERICA'S PRIMARY PROBLEM</u> Left: Racism Right: Lack of fathers	<u>NATIONAL BORDERS</u> Left: A relic of the past Right: Indispensable for national survival	<u>VIEW OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS</u> Left: Welcomed guests Right: Illegal immigrants
<u>INTERNATIONAL IDEAL</u> Left: World governed by the UN and no single country is dominant Right: World in which America is the single strongest entity.	<u>PRIMARY SOURCE OF CRIME</u> Left: Poverty, racism and other societal flaws Right: The criminal's malfunctioning conscience	<u>AMERICA'S FOUNDING FATHERS</u> Left: Rich white male slave owners Right: Great men who founded the greatest society	<u>HUMAN NATURE</u> Left: Basically good (society responsible for evil) Right: Not basically good (individual is primarily responsible for evil)

The Connection Between "Leftism" and "Secular Humanism." The ideology of "Secular Humanism" conflicts with the Judeo-Christian ideology which has served the nation so well, but is consistent in many respects with the "leftist ideology." Secular Humanism has been codified into a document known as the *Humanist Manifesto* of which there have been several versions dating back to the early 1900s.

Following are excerpts from the *Humanist Manifesto* of 2000. The reader may be stunned at the extent to which this ideology, once considered but a fringe movement, has now come to represent the dominant ideology of the left. Note the following excerpts:

ATHEISM. We find insufficient evidence for belief in the existence of a supernatural; it is either meaningless or irrelevant to the question of the survival and fulfillment of the human race. As nontheists, we begin with humans not God, nature not deity. But we can discover no divine purpose or providence for the human species. The unique message of humanists on the current world scene is its commitment to scientific naturalism.

EVOLUTION. Humanism believes that man is a part of nature and that he has emerged as the result of a continuous process. Science affirms that the human species is an emergence from natural evolutionary forces. The scientific theory of evolution, however, provides a more parsimonious account of human origins and is based upon evidence drawn from a wide range of science.

AMORALITY. We affirm that moral values derive their source from human experience. Ethics is autonomous and situational, needs no theological or ideological sanction. Ethics stem from human need and interest. To deny this distorts an whole basis of life. In the area of sexuality, we believe that intolerant attitudes, often cultivated by orthodox religious and puritanical cultures, unduly repress sexual conduct.

SOCIALISTIC ONE-WORLD GOVERNMENT. We deplore the division of humankind on nationalistic grounds. We have reached a turning point in human history where the best option is to transcend the limits of national sovereignty and to move the building of a world community in which all sectors of the human family can participate. Thus we look to the development of a system of world law and world order based upon transnational federal government. The world needs at some point in the future to establish an effective World Parliament – and elections based on population – which represents the people, not their governments.

We recommend an international system of taxation. This world community must renounce the resort to violence and force as a method of solving international disputes. War is obsolete.

D. James Kennedy, a widely-read pastor, now deceased, offered these comments: “What [the *Humanists*] essentially did was turn Christian ethics upside down. They took everything that has been considered immoral for centuries and declared it to be moral. . . It is undoubtedly a popular move to take a list of immoral acts and call that morality! It has never been difficult to get people to follow the path of immorality.”

Can the Divisions in America be Reconciled? Prager has come to the ominous conclusion that the divisions in America cannot be reconciled, and that – using the Civil War as analogy - “there will be unity only when the left vanquishes the right or the right vanquishes the left right.” He believed at one time that, although the left and right had serious policy differences, they each had the same vision for America. But no more. He now believes “right and left do not want the same America.” He offers the following in support of his beliefs: The left wants America to look as much like Western European countries as possible. The left wants Europe's quasi-pacifism, cradle-to-grave socialism, egalitarianism and secularization. The right wants none of those values.

Note also the following:

- The left feels that if people want to be religious, they should do so at home and in their houses of prayer, but never try to inject their religious values into society. The right wants America to continue to be what it has always been – a Judeo-Christian society with a largely secular government that is not indifferent to religion.
- The left prefers to identify as citizens of the world. The left fears nationalism. The right identifies first as citizens of America.
- The left therefore regards the notion of American exceptionalism as chauvinism; the United Nations and world opinion are regarded as better arbiters of what is good than is America. The right has a low opinion of the U. N.'s moral compass and of world opinion.
- The left subscribes to the French Revolution whose guiding principles were “Liberty, Equality, Fraternity.” The right subscribes to the American formula, “Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness.” (Equality is not mentioned) The right rejects the French Revolution and does not hold Western Europe as a model.
- The left envisions an egalitarian society. The right does not. The left values equality above other values because it yearns for an America in which all people have similar amounts of material possessions. The right values equality of opportunity and strongly believes that all people are created equal.

The Ocasio-Cortez Phenomenon as a Predictor. On the coattails of leftist Bernie Sanders comes the sudden rise of super socialist Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, a 28-year old millennial who shocked the Democrats when, during a recent election, she defeated Democrat incumbent Joe Crowley in New York's 14th Congressional District. Crowley, the fourth-ranking House Democrat, was reportedly at the head of the line to succeed House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi.

Leftist Democratic National Committee Chief Tom Perez immediately declared that Cortez, “represents the future of our party!” The continuing lurch to the left of the Democrat party is thus confirmed.

WELL-KNOWN PSYCHIATRIST CONTENDS LIBERAL/LEFTIST MINDS UNDERSTANDABLE ONLY AS “DISORDERS OF THE PSYCHE”

Foreword. What can account for the lying, uncivilized and undemocratic behavior of the left? Psychiatrist Dr. Lyle Rossiter, author of *The Liberal Mind: The Psychological Causes of Political Madness*, a book released in 2011, provides some insightful information. Although his identified subject is the “liberal mind,” his book should be construed to include today's “leftists” - a term in today's vernacular - and described in the above report.

He is an experienced psychiatrist who, for decades, has diagnosed and treated more than 1,500 patients as a board certified clinical psychiatrist, and examined more than 2,700 civil and criminal cases as a board-certified forensic psychiatrist.

At a time when efforts are made to destroy our form of government of government, to deny a president opportunity to appoint judges, to establish open borders against the will of the people, to establish “fake news” outlets in place of journalists, to engage in behavior described in a Trump Derangement Syndrome (discussed below), and a host of other such objectives, it is important to attain a psychological understanding of what drives the beliefs and actions of participants in the above mentioned efforts. The following comments of Dr. Rossiter may be helpful in that regard.

COMMENTS OF A NOTED PSYCHIATRIST

The following quote Rossiter's book provides helpful background about what he terms Modern Liberalism's “irrationality:” “The degree of modern liberalism's irrationality far exceeds any misunderstanding that can be attributed to faulty fact gathering or logical error. Indeed, under careful scrutiny, liberalism's distortions of the normal ability to reason can only be understood as the product of psychopathology. So extravagant are the patterns of thinking, emoting, behaving and relating that characterize the liberal mind that its relentless protests and demand become understandable only as disorders of the psyche. The modern liberal mind, its distorted perceptions and its destructive agenda are the product of disturbed personalities.”

From the World Net Daily website are some thoughts (shown in italics) from Rossiter's book:

Based on strikingly irrational beliefs and emotions, modern liberals relentlessly undermine the most important important principles on which our freedoms are founded. Like spoiled, angry children, they rebel against the normal responsibilities of adulthood and that a parental government meet their needs from cradle to grave.”

A social scientist who understand human nature will not dismiss the vital roles of free choice, voluntary cooperation and moral integrity – as liberals do,” he says. “A political leader who understands human nature will not ignore individual differences in talent, drive, personal appeal and work ethic, and then try to impose economic equality on the population – as liberals do. And a legislator who understands human nature will not create an environment of rules which over-regulates and over-taxes the nation's citizens, corrupts their character and reduces them to wards of the state – a liberals do.”

Dr. Rossiter says the liberal agenda preys of weakness and feeling of inferiority in the population by: (1) creating and reinforcing perceptions of victimization, (2) satisfying infantile claims to entitlement, indulgence and compensation, (3) augmenting primitive feelings of envy, and (4) rejecting the sovereignty of the individual, subordinating him to the will of government.

IS LYING NOW ACCEPTED AS VIRTUE?

The Application of Alinsky's Rules for Radicals by Leftists. Alinsky's book has been and continues to be the “Bible” for much of the left and may explain much of its behavior. Alinsky states that the organizer or politician “does not have fixed truth – truth to him is relative and changing.” Is it possible that Alinsky's teaching accounts for much of the lying noted among leftists?

But Alinsky's advice extends beyond merely defining truth; it extends to aggressive tactics which have been used by leftists for their purpose, including scare tactics. Another Alinsky rule comes into play: “Power is not only what you have but what the enemy thinks you have.” He recommends irreverence, ridicule and deception, saying, “Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, polarize it.”

How did Alinsky's tactics gain such traction? To understand the answer, one must be aware that when there is a fair debate forum, the conservatives, being more realistic and logical and less emotional, may have the stronger argument. The left, not always able to respond to intellectual challenge, is forced to employ Alinsky tactics. Further, “What has happened is that a generation of American politicians who came of age during Alinsky's lifetime has moved into positions of institutional power that Alinsky so often derided as “the enemy.”

Why the Seeming Toleration of Hillary Clinton's Lies? *Wall Street Journal* columnist Bret Stephens, in an op-ed piece offers the following rationale: “For you and me, the Clinton lies were statements demonstrably at variance with the truth . . . But to the “initiated” [those smart enough to see through it all] . . . lying was for greater good, usually to fend off some form of Republican malevolence . . .”

Narcissism and Lying as Mental Disorders. A survey of comments made by professional in the psychiatric community reveals there are varying classifications or degrees of narcissism, one of which was described by Dr. Rossiter as follows:

the sociopath, and often the narcissist, who lies as a way of life has not acquired a normal conscience that prohibits lying . . . Much lying is an expression of the persistence into adulthood of childhood demandingness . . . Childhood demandingness is demagogued by politicians always ready to lie to voters about what they [voters] can have at another's expense, what they "need."

Author David Kupelian synthesizes his interviews with psychiatrists on the subject of narcissim:

For a super-ambitious and vainglorious person such as Obama, lying is a vital and creative process. Lies open doors that would otherwise remain shut . . . Ordinary people don't possess this power, as they are constrained from such brazen lying by their conscience and/or the fear of being caught. But a highly narcissistic person like Obama feels he has freedom . . . to lie, and thereby impose his will on us.

Obama Back in the News. Every president since at least World War II has refrained from getting directly involved in partisan politics after leaving office, choosing to give the new president a chance to succeed. But recently, Obama has chosen to become involved. Consequently, the following comments of Rossiter are timely and may be relevant to other politicians:

His developmental history may easily evoke sympathy for a biracial little boy raised in a white family and repeatedly subjected to varying degrees of prejudice, rejection and neglect at the hand of those on whom he was most dependent.

His duplicitous biological father deserted him early; his self-involved mother pursued her interests at length to her son's neglect, contaminating his early social and spiritual life with her marriage to an Indonesian Muslim, immersing him in an authoritarian religion whose radical fringe celebrates destructiveness, and eventually rejecting him by assigning his care to his grandparents, whose collectivist politics taught him to despise capitalism.

With indoctrination in the principles of *injustice-collecting* by a radical black communist during his adolescence, Obama was well on his way, by early adulthood, to a political philosophy ultimately founded on anger at the world of his formative years, and on envy of all those who had a better life than his.

Obama's personal tragedy is now becoming manifest, as it must, in the unfolding failures of his domestic and foreign policies; they are inevitable consequences of the irrational foundations on which his own political principles and those of modern liberalism rest.

Conclusion. Columnist David Limbaugh contends, "It's inevitable that the side that believes in moral relativism and the end-justifies-the-means would lie more often." Currently, one side exhibits more and more hostility toward the Judeo-Christian tenets, while the other side is aware of and feels pangs of conscience by the Commandment, "Do Not Bear False Witness." Prager contends the latter should be controlling in all situations:

The most important ingredient to building a moral society is truth, both inside and outside a courtroom. The prohibition against "bearing false witness" does not only demand that truth reign supreme in a trial, but that is is a societal value throughout the culture. Bad things happen when people believe lies. With truth, we can build a decent society. Without it, even the other nine commandments won't help.

RESPECTED COLUMNIST: "WHATEVER THE LEFT TOUCHES, IT RUINS"

Columnist Dennis Prager contends "whatever the left touches, it ruins" and submits an op-ed piece in support of that contention. Excerpts follow:

The "near destruction of most American universities" is one piece of evidence. "When you attend an American university, you are taught to have contempt for America and its founders, to prefer socialism to capitalism, to divide human beings by race and ethnicity. You are taught to shut down those who differ with you, not debate them."

The left has ruined most the arts which, generally, were intended to "elevate" the viewers and listeners, but "elevate" is a meaningless term to the left which is comfortable with depictions of urine and other ugly sights. The left is increasingly poisoning sports.

Much of mainstream protestanism and the Catholic church have become left-wing advocacy groups.

The left is destroying the unique American commitment to free speech.

The left has poisoned race relations. "The black left and white left constantly poison young minds with hate-filled diatribes against whites, "white privilege," "black dorms, black dorms; lies about Ferguson, etc."

"The left has made innumerable women unhappy, even depressed, with its decades of lying about how female sexual nature and male sexual nature are identical – leading to a 'hookup' culture"

"In some ways scariest of all, the left is poisoning our children with its commitment to ending male and female as distinct categories."

